## .Provost

- NEEDS ASSESSMENT SUMMARY FY24

FY2023 EOY Data Summary - Please provide a brief summary of your school data analysis \& identified needs
Date(s) of data analysis team meetings:
Team members:

| Data Source | Did you meet your goal(s)? <br> Summary of need(s)/Guiding questions: <br> - Did you identify grade levels/teachers with specific needs? <br> - Did you identify specific subgroups with specific needs? (i.e. EL, SWD) <br> - Do you have specific learning goals? <br> - Do you have specific PD needs/goals? <br> - Do you have identified parent engagement needs? <br> - Do you have identified ML (EL) needs? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acadience Proficiency <br> Consider looking at deep analysis (2nd table); if you need help finding these data points in Amplify, let Tiffany, Michelle or Ron know (june); new assessment dir. in July | Percent Proficient on Acadience OR questions to the left (two tables below/pick one or use both) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Acadience Proficiency fy22 (composite) | Acadience Proficiency fy23 (composite) | EL Acadience Proficiency fy22 (composite) | EL Acadience Proficiency fy23 (composite) |
|  | Kinder | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & (46 / 76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & (56 / 79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (2 / 10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.9 \% \\ & (13 / 21) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1st | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & (55 / 75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & (46 / 77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.8 \% \\ & (4 / 13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \% \\ & (5 / 18) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2nd | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & (28 / 51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & (59 / 74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.3 \% \\ & (5 / 15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.6 \% \\ & (7 / 15) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 3rd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64\% ( } \\ & 39 / 61) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & (40 / 60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \% \\ & (2 / 11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.3 \% \\ & (5 / 16) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 4th | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (28 / 54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \% \\ & (41 / 64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (2 / 18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.2 \% \\ & (7 / 17) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 5th | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62\% } \\ & (37 / 60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \% \\ & (33 / 53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.4 \% \\ & (5 / 13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.7 \% \\ & (4 / 15) \end{aligned}$ |


| 6 th | $68 \%$ <br> $(23 / 34)$ | $75 \%$ <br> $(45 / 60)$ | $14.3 \%$ <br> $(1 / 7)$ | $38.5 \%$ <br> $(5 / 13)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Whole <br> School | $62 \%$ <br> $(256 / 411)$ | $69 \%$ <br> $(320 / 467)$ | $24.1 \%$ <br> $(21 / 87)$ | $40 \%$ <br> $(46 / 115)$ |

Summary:

Need:
fy24 Goal(s):

## Deep analysis - Tier 1 and Tier 2/3 effectiveness:

| Question | Data Source | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is our core instruction effective? <br> -Effective is defined as at least $80 \%$ of students meeting grade level benchmarks from core instruction alone. | -Percentage of Students At or Above Benchmark Combine Green \% and Blue \% | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N |
| What percentage of students who were at or above benchmark at the beginning of the year (BOY) are at or above Benchmark at the end of the year (EOY)? (Tier 1) <br> -Should be at least $95 \%$ of students. | -Combine Green \% and Blue \% for Benchmark -Combine Green \% and Blue \% for Above Benchmark | 94\% <br> 33/35 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 35 / 35 \end{aligned}$ | $98 \%$ <br> 56/57 | 100\% <br> 36/36 | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & 36 / 39 \end{aligned}$ | $93 \%$ <br> 26/28 | $98 \%$ <br> 47/48 |
| What percentage of students who were Below and Well Benchmark at BOY are Benchmark/Above Benchmark at EOY? (Tier 2) -Should be at least $80 \%$ of students. | -Combine Green\% and Blue\% for Below Benchmark | 53\% <br> 23/43 | $29 \%$ <br> 11/38 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & 2 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 3 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 24\% <br> 6/25 | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \% \\ & 8 / 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \% \\ & 1 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| How many students who | -Red\% in Below | 0\% | 14\% | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 15\% | 50\% |


|  | were Below Benchmark at the BOY are now Well Below Benchmark at EOY? (Tier 2 \& 3) -Should be 0\% of students | Benchmark column | 0/19 | 1/7 | 0/5 | 1/3 | 2/6 | 2/13 | 4/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | What percentage of those who were Well Below Benchmark at the BOY are no longer Well Below Benchmark at EOY? (Tier 2 \& 3) <br> -At least $80 \%$ should no longer be Well Below Benchmark | -Below, Benchmark, Above (add yellow, green, blue\% from red column) | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & 19 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & 8 / 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 5 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 3 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \% \\ & 7 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \% \\ & 4 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 1 / 7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Summary: <br> Need: <br> fy24 Goal(s): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acadience Progress/POP | Our fy23 goal(s) were: <br> - Acadience: Increase the percentage of students K-6 reaching typical, above typical or well above typical progress by $10 \%$ based on DIBELS MOY to EOY scores. <br> Data analysis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | fy22 |  | fy23 |  | fy22 EL |  | fy23 EL |  |
|  | Kinder | $\begin{gathered} 47.9 \% \\ 35 / 73 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 69.2 \% \\ 54 / 78 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & (4 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ (12 / 20) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 1st | $\begin{gathered} 83.5 \% \\ 61 / 73 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 56.9 \% \\ 47 / 72 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 53.8 \% \\ (7 / 13) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 53.3 \% \\ (8 / 15) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 2nd | $\begin{gathered} 79.2 \% \\ 38 / 48 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 90.3 \% \\ 65 / 72 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 69.2 \% \\ (9 / 13) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 69.2 \% \\ (9 / 13) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 3 rd | $\begin{gathered} 60.3 \% \\ 36 / 60 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 67.2 \% \\ 39 / 58 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 45.5 \% \\ (5 / 11) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 33.3 \% \\ (5 / 15) \end{gathered}$ |  |




|  |  |  |  | Into Math Groun |  | fy23 Into M | owth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | k |  |  | 73.7\% |  |  |  |
|  | 1st |  |  | 74.7\% |  |  |  |
|  | 2nd |  |  | 76.7\% |  |  |  |
|  | 3 rd |  |  | 68.3\% |  |  |  |
|  | 4th |  |  | 64.8\% |  |  |  |
|  | 5th |  |  | 72.9\% |  |  |  |
|  | 6th |  |  | 51\% |  |  |  |
|  | Whole School |  |  | 69.4\% |  |  |  |
|  | Summary: <br> Need: <br> fy24 Goal(s): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RISE Science | Our goal during fy23 was: <br> - RISE: Increase the percentage of 4-6 graders reaching proficiency on the EOY RISE test by $5 \%$ from 2022 to 2023 <br> - Curriculum - Start embedding lessons with STEAM and STEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | fy22 | fy23 | SPED fy 22 | SPED fy23 | EL fy 22 | EL fy23 |
|  | 4th | 41\% | 46\% | 25\% | 0\% | 11.1\% | 12\% |
|  | 5th | 54\% | 53\% | 42.9\% | 33\% | 16.7\% | 13\% |
|  | 6th | 39\% | 50\% | 33.3\% | 44\% | 21.7\% | 8\% |
|  | Whole School | 45\% | 50\% | 33.3\% | $16.9 \%$ | 16.9\% |  |


|  | Summary: <br> Need: <br> fy24 Goal(s): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACCESS for ELs <br> - Proficiency <br> - Growth <br> - Students who reached proficiency <br> *Refer to USBE WiDA growth chart for accurate growth measures; Use Ellevation report | fy23 Goals: <br> - WIDA: $87 \%$ of ELs will show (adequate $.8+$ increase in proficiency) growth on their WIDA ACCESS score <br> - $23 \%$ of ELs will meet adequate growth on Rise Testing •ELs with a FY22 WIDA ACCESS Score of 4.5 or above will score a 5 or higher on their 2022-23 WIDA ACCESS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 22 \\ 1 \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 23 \\ 1 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 22 \\ 2 \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 23 \\ 2 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 22 \\ 3 \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 23 \\ 3 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 22 \\ 4 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 23 \\ 4 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 22 \\ 5 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 23 \\ 5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fy } 22 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { fy } 23 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | fy22 scho ol 117 | fy23 <br> scho <br> ol <br> 114 |
|  | Suffici ent Growt h (Use elleava tion) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minma <br> I Growt h (Use elleava tion) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Insuffi cient Growt h(Use elleava tion) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Summary: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Need: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | fy24 Goal(s): |
| Stakeholder Survey <br> Use school/district survey <br> results to determine needs <br> for parent <br> engagement/student needs | Our goal during fy23 was: <br> School: <br> The school will have the following: <br> •SEP Conferences <br> $\bullet$ School wide activities focusing on building community, academic support, etc.: STEM Night, World Fair, Back to School <br> Night/Meet the Teacher, Family Reads Program and incentives |
| EL - other areas <br> SWD - other areas <br> - Evaluate students who met <br> proficiency <br> $\bullet$ Evaluate enrollment in <br> gifted/choice programs; <br> Special Education <br> $\bullet$ Evaluate standard reports <br> $\bullet$ Evaluate attendance, <br> mobility, suspension rates | Our goal during fy23 was: <br> Increase teacher knowledge and implementation of SIOP strategies in the classroom |

